

COCA-COLA, THE CIA, and the COURTS
Part Five of a Series
by Sherman H. Skolnick

THE BLACKMAIL MACHINE ROLLS ON

It was set to be a crucial hearing in the media-ignored Coca-Cola case in Chicago's federal district court. U.S. District Judge Blanche M. Manning [(312) 435-7608] was determined NOT to hear in open court on August 22, 2000, that Coca-Cola had a reputed spy in the camp of their opponent, Robert E. Kolody, who was sueing Coke for theft of his storyboards and designs. In law, his claim is called theft of intellectual property.

The monopoly press, beholden to major advertiser Coca-Cola, has purposely evaded reporting on this case. Kolody's out-of-state attorney, Dan Ivy, had on August 9, bravely filed a Motion demanding the Judge expunge all her rulings favoring Coke, since the reputed spy reportedly conveyed confidential legal strategies of Kolody to his opponent, Coke. It is called Fraud Upon the Court, an unusual procedure that makes most of those of the bench and the bar plenty nervous. Kolody's required "local counsel", Daniel V. Hanley, the reputed spy, in the presence of witnesses, had confessed to me that Coca-Cola knew Kolody's court strategies because Hanley's sister is media buyer for Coca-Cola.

The court-filed Motion with my signed Declaration attached, among other things, pointed out [1] That power-broker, and gambling casino overlord, WILLIAM F. CELLINI, reportedly paid one million dollars to buy in 1994 the federal court judgeship for Blanche M. Manning. The pay-off reportedly made through then-U.S. Senator Carol Moseley-Braun. [Braun lost the re-election in 1998 because of growing scandals she could not explain away. As to Buying a Judgeship, visit my website.] Cellini reportedly is linked to the nationwide criminal cartel. [2] My Declaration transcribed two interviews, done in the presence of witnesses, of Daniel V. Hanley. [3] That the Tribune Company, parent of the Chicago Tribune, and their media empire, have been blackmailing Judge Manning by seeking to intervene, as of right they claimed, without publicity, in another one of Judge Manning's cases. To point out that the Judge engaged in secret proceedings without notice, and censored and redacted court records, as part of an apparent cover-up. As later determined, the Tribune Company wanted to pressure Judge Manning, and Coke's media buyer, MARY HANLEY, so Tribune would get more advertising bucks from Coca-Cola. With the merger with the Los Angeles Times, Tribune Company got more heavily in debt. Getting a big share of Coke's billion dollars a year advertising slush fund would help Tribune Company and their banks.

Prior to the show-down August 22 hearing, Judge Manning jumped the gun and rejected the Fraud Upon the Court Motion out of hand. The Judge issued a ruling loaded with what Dan Ivy later on August 22, described in court to her face, as her "judicial perjuries". Identified by Dan Ivy were how, to try to cover up and evade, the Fraud Upon the Court, involving the Judge herself, that she straight out lied. The Judge falsely described the Motion as one to disqualify herself. No such thing in the Motion. Ivy

confronted the Judge with a string of her lies. The Judge resisted allowing the witnesses against the reputed spy to be heard. The witnesses were all present in the courtroom.

Notice the time-line Prior to the key hearing, Daniel V. Hanley informed Kolody that Hanley's sister, Coke media buyer Mary Hanley, is going out of the country, to Ireland. Would she be available as a witness? Her testimony could scandalize her company, DDB advertising agency, in matters that involve both Coca-Cola and Pepsi-Cola. [More later.] Prior to the key hearing, in a business column 8/15/00, in the Chicago Tribune, it is announced that Mary Hanley of DDB Chicago has been elected Senior Vice President and is a group media director.

By the way, as Coke's media buyer, Mary Hanley has tremendous clout as to who in the mass media get part of Coke's billion dollars a year ad bucks.

After resisting hearing the witnesses, Judge Manning suddenly leaves the bench, presumably to get instructions from "higher ups". In the recess, six federal security patrol officers enter the Courtroom. An excuse supposedly is that the Judge will briefly divert a few minutes to sentence a jail-bird, in an orange prison jumpsuit, brought in through a side door. After the brief diversion, however, the federal police do not leave. Judge Manning then tries to intimidate me demanding I roll my wheelchair to the rear of the courtroom. I told her I am an electronic journalist and wish to be upfront to observe and hear good. One of the federal police stands up and started over to me, to forceably remove me. Under threat, I rolled over to sit right near one of the federals with his intercom in his ear.

Suddenly the Judge changes her mind and the witnesses were called such as me. I was asked what I do. Looking right at Judge Manning, I told her I investigate crooked judges for the purpose of putting them in jail. Pointing to the reputed spy for Coca-Cola who confessed to me in the presence of others, I detailed what was said. The reputed spy did not, however, appear to deny my interviews with him about his sister.

In the Kolody case, the two defendants are The Coca-Cola Company and their marketing adjunct, Simon Marketing. Simon's alleged attorney was asked why she did not inform the Court that Simon had merged and is now part of Cyrk, Inc. The attorney, Jacqueline A. Criswell [law firm Tressler, Soderstrom, Maloney & Priess(312) 627-4000] told Judge Manning that she did not know of the merger.

Apparently not adequately revealed in the Court record is that Criswell does NOT represent Simon Marketing but rather, the insurance carrier under an errors and omission policy carried by many corporations. And the name of the insurance company has not been disclosed in the Court record. Also, Cyrk has reportedly failed to inform the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission of the Kolody suit as required by SEC regulations.

>From all the known facts, it appears that there may be a scam underway to rip off the insurance carrier. No great surprise. Illinois and Texas are havens for pirate insurance companies and havens for massive insurance frauds, because of crooked state insurance regulators. Coke appears to be fraudulently shifting the multi-million dollar

damage claim against them, for theft of intellectual property, so their former marketing adjunct, Simon, would take the blame to be underwritten by the insurance company.

Coca-Cola's advertising agency DDB, may be part of a huge price-fixing and market-tampering mess. Advertising agencies become privy to tremendous financial and political secrets of their clients. Such as the market demographics. Data on profiling would-be customers. Price trends. Political trends that effect marketing products. Problems needed to be "fixed" and judges and others necessary to be corrupted, for the benefit of their clients. Where the product sells the best, so as to put a related business unit right nearby. Point-of-purchase strategies.

Closely scrutinizing DDB's website is helpful <http://www.ddbn.com>

DDB, once called Needham, has been trying to stay low-key on the fact that they represent PEPSI-COLA at the same time they represent their alleged "competitor" COCA-COLA. That sort of an arrangement is both unethical and may well be a violation of the U.S. Anti-Trust laws. The purpose is to freeze out smaller companies like Royal Crown Cola and others, with Pepsi and Coke together controlling the prices among themselves, dividing up markets among themselves, setting the wholesale price per-case of soda pop in a way to screw smaller firms, and then jacking up the price for themselves, and similar federal price-fixing and market-fixing law violations. An open secret, never mentioned in the monopoly press, is that Coke and Pepsi are substantially owned and operated by the same people.

Two days after the key hearing on Coke's reputed spy, the Chicago Tribune continues their Blackmail Machine. The apparent purpose is not to help Robert E. Kolody fight the injustice inflicted on him by Judge Manning but rather, for the Tribune Company to continue to shakedown Coke's advertising agency, DDB, to get more ad bucks for the Tribune empire of numerous print media newspapers, TV stations, radio stations, magazines, and a great number of advertising-sponsored websites.

The Trib blackmail story was on their front page, 8/24/2000. The Tribune announced a matter that had so far been kept secret That there had been a huge Illinois State contract swindle prosecution that actually involved top officials of then-Illinois Governor Jim Edgar [1991-1999] "The list of those linked by prosecutors to the scandal but not charged included Michael Belletire, Edgar's deputy chief of staff and later head of the ILLINOIS GAMING BOARD; JANIS CELLINI, Edgar's patronage chief AND SISTER OF SPRINGFIELD POWER BROKER WILLIAM CELLINI..." (Emphasis added.)

Notice the tie-in to the Coca-Cola case A gambling casino kingpin, reputedly part of the nationwide crime cartel, William F. Cellini, reportedly bought the Judgeship for Blanche M. Manning sitting in the Coca-Cola case. One of those reportedly covering up dirty business in gambling casinos for Cellini what later became head of the Illinois Gambling Board is now named as having been an unindicted co-conspirator. That is, the prosecutor, splitting hairs because of being corrupted or otherwise influenced, left him off the hook. And then the Tribune names William F. Cellini's sister, JANIS CELLINI, as one who somehow escaped being actually prosecuted and jailed.

Do you suppose that William F. Cellini and the Coca-Cola gang and their ad bucks controller DDB, and Mary Hanley, media buyer for Coca-Cola, along with Judge Manning, got the message? See to it, they are told, that the Tribune Company gets their "cut" of the Coke billion dollars a year ad bucks, or else, more judicial dirt and Cellini scandals will be published.

So what is next? The WORLD GREEDIEST NEWSPAPER suddenly and belatedly finding out that there is an insurance scam reportedly implicating a marketing adjunct of Coca-Cola? Or that DDB advertising agency is concealing an apparent horrendous price-fixing mess involving both Pepsi and Coke? Stay tuned.

COCA-COLA, THE CIA, and the COURTS
Part Six of a Series
by Sherman H. Skolnick

ANATOMY OF THE BIG COURT FIX

One of the hardest things to understand for poorly informed folks is that the law and the facts are not the controlling factors in important cases in Court. Mind you, not every case is corrupted or "fixed". Too often, however, the big ones show signs there has been a malign, if not corrupt, influence on the Judge or Judges involved.

Our court reform group, targetting court corruption, has been active for four decades. We know what to look for. The assignment of cases, is, to us, a key sign.

We have been writing and commenting, and did a one-hour Cable TV Program, on the corruption in the case against The Coca-Cola Company, in Chicago's notorious federal district court. With no exceptions, every judgeship in the place has been bought and sold over the years. [Visit onur website story, Buying a Judgeship.]

The case against Coca-Cola was brought in January, 1997, by a Nebraska attorney, John DeCamp, with long prior experience with the American CIA. [The plaintiff, Robert E. Kolody, found it difficult to get a local attorney.] DeCamp was in a position to understand plenty. He was in a position to know that this important case in Chicago, involving claims against the soda pop monster for theft of intellectual property, storyboards and designs, would be difficult to continue. Any plaintiff's attorney might get chewed up and spit out, especially by Coca-Cola, a worldwide adjunct and proprietary operation of the American CIA.

By the corrupt process of random magic, instead of random selection, the Coca-Cola case was assigned to Chicago U.S. District Judge Blanche M. Manning [(312) 435-7608]. And the road to reportedly blackmailing her, to favor Coca-Cola, was already built. Running on that road was waste hauler John Christopher who had a criminal past with reputed ties to organized crime. He agreed to be an FBI "mole" and to wear a "wire" to target some of Chicago's City Officials, known as "the best that money can buy". The FBI/U.S. Justice Department project was dubbed "Silver Shovel". Screwing the residents in their own districts with poisonous waste, various city council Aldermen took apparent pay-offs or reportedly extorted pay-offs from Christopher, so he could illegally dump

huge waste in their neighborhoods. Left-over construction junk. This was primarily or exclusively in poor black areas, with an empty lot or two, areas without financial or political clout. By the way, after the FBI dust had settled, the U.S. Government did NOT quickly offer to haul away all the toxic mess that Christopher unloaded, often right near populated inner city areas.

"Silver Shovel" was a headlined scandal in the local press starting about January, 1996. By the time the Coca-Cola case got started a year later, City of Chicago Commissioner of Water, John Bolden, was targeted for federal criminal prosecution in "Silver Shovel". His and the soda pop case were both pending before Judge Manning. Commissioner Bolden's defense attorney, James Montgomery, was reportedly a close crony of Judge Manning. It was obvious to savvy sorts what might happen. Montgomery reportedly had ties of some sort over the years with Nevada gambling casino gangsters. Reportedly part of the crime cartel and a big-time owner of gambling casinos, William F. Cellini reportedly had bought the federal judgeship for Manning, paying some one million dollars through then U.S. Senator Carol Moseley-Braun [D., Ill.].

John DeCamp, in a position reportedly to understand a few things about Coca-Cola and the CIA and the Chicago federal judges, withdrew from the newly started case against Coke, in July, 1997. A few weeks later, started the federal criminal trial USA vs. John Bolden. Same Judge.

Anyone knowing a lot about court knows that even trials by jury can be "fixed" or sabotaged by the trial Judge. Such as, by the Judge keeping out key evidence as being "inadmissible", by manipulating the dates and circumstances of the jury procedures. By slanting the court procedures against the prosecutors and in favor of the criminal defendant. By scheduling the jury under peculiar circumstances. In September, 1997, the jury in the Bolden case came back with a split verdict. Guilty on tax evasion, wherein Bolden could get, at most, six months in prison. They acquitted Bolden on the more serious charges of extortion.

John Bolden was a bigshot making as much as 90 thousand dollars a year as Commissioner of Water for City of Chicago. [And perhaps much more as pay-offs to influence his official position.] He was a big fish. The team that helped put together the charges against Bolden were and are livid. Why? They contend that Judge Manning, to go easy on Water Commissioner Bolden, got a financial benefit that some might construe as a bribe. The team, in plain language, grumbles loud enough for others to hear, that U.S. District Judge Blanche M. Manning is a crook, whose chair reportedly was bought for her by a crook, and that she belongs in prison, along with the one who bought her the Judgeship.]

To understand this story fully, you have to understand the realities of political and financial power. Those who put together criminal charges are most often NOT concerned about bribes to Judges in CIVIL cases. So, if Judge Manning had been bribed or corruptly influenced or blackmailed in the CIVIL case, the one against Coca-Cola, well, the team is NOT concerned. In the Water Commissioner's case, Judge Manning made the same mistake, however, as Chicago Federal Appeals Judge Otto Kerner, Jr., in 1969. He had reportedly taken a huge bribe in a CIVIL case, involving a

five million dollar claim regarding a pet food company. The matter, however, that instigated the federal criminal charges against Judge Kerner was that he had been corrupted to turn loose the Silver-Hi-Jacking Gang, an important federal CRIMINAL case.

A federal judge is a fool to counter the prosecutors in a federal criminal case. A federal judge who takes bribes or financial benefits or is corruptly influenced or blackmailed, in a CIVIL case, most likely stays peacefully and quietly on the bench until he or she retires or croaks.

The other some two dozen U.S. District Judges that sit with Judge Manning in the federal courthouse in Chicago are just as corrupt as Manning. EXCEPT, they do not screw or sabotage or sabotage CRIMINAL cases. [This is not a blanket generality. I could detail their crimes as well, one by one.]

Judge Kerner learned the hard way the number one unwritten law that applies to crooked judges YOU DO NOT SCREW AROUND WITH CRIMINAL CASES, only civil cases.

Our group, by the way, was instrumental in getting Kerner put in prison. He was the highest ranking sitting federal judge to be sent to jail for bribery in U.S. history. Also sent to jail with him, by our work, was his crony, the former Director of the Illinois Department of Revenue, the highest Illinois state tax collector, Theodore J. Isaacs. When I publicly accused Kerner, he tried to get a fellow judge to jail me for contempt of court. Kerner held a press conference and all the local media carried his statement calling me a "liar". But get this, he died an ex-convict. So who was lying after all?

Here are a few questions to think about [1] Were and are Coca-Cola's hotshot Chicago lawyers in a position to know that Judge Manning may be in big trouble and subject to blackmail, such as reportedly by Coke's lawyers, because of her role in the Water Commissioner's case. Coca-Cola's attorneys are Ms Laura Beth Miller, of Brinks, Hofer, Gilson & Lione [(312) 321-4715], E-mail lmiller@brinkshofer.com FAX(312) 321-4299. [2] Why is nothing done about Simon Marketing, a marketing adjunct of Coca-Cola and also defendant with them? The one in court that purports to represent Simon Marketing, reportedly actually represents the insurance carrier. Are they committing a fraud upon the court? Simon Marketing merged with Cyrk, Inc., not shown in the Court record. Purporting to represent Simon Marketing is Jacqueline A. Criswell (312) 627-4000 E-Mail jcriswell@mail.tsmp.com FAX (312) 627-1717, of Tressler, Soderstrom, Maloney & Priess. [3] Why did Judge Manning have six federal police in her courtroom, to intimidate me, when I testified at a hearing as to my investigations in respect to Judge Manning and the Coca-Cola case? [4] The other judges in the federal courthouse with Judge Manning know better than to sabotage or corruptly screw up the prosecutors in a federal criminal case. How is it that she does not realize she on the road to possible disaster? Did she flunk out of Crooked Judge 101? The other crooked judges in her courthouse have all passed the test. They are each as corrupt as her but know how to survive as a corrupt judge.

Cynics claim that the School for training Corrupt Judges how to survive, is financed, in part, by gambling casino loot. Wise bookies are refusing bets, however, on Judge Manning, a judicial school drop-out. Do we know who actually runs such a school? You betcha. Stay tuned.

COCA-COLA, THE CIA, and the COURTS
Part Seven of a Series
by Sherman H. Skolnick

A real-life drama and the players

ROBERT E. KOLODY- For upwards of ten years a local lawyer he trusted, DANIEL V. HANLEY, reportedly elicited from Kolody details of his legal strategy plans. Kolody contends that The Coca-Cola Company and their marketing adjunct, Simon Marketing, stole Kolody's intellectual property, being storyboards and designs. Kolody had difficulty getting a Chicago-area attorney to pursue his claims. Since 1997, Kolody's case has been in the U.S. District Court in Chicago, No. 97 C 190.

In February, 1999, Kolody retained Dan Ivy, an outspoken attorney from Arkansas. As required under the local federal rules in Chicago, Ivy designated Hanley as "local counsel", meaning Hanley has to be informed of all proposed court filings and procedures.

SHERMAN H. SKOLNICK- As a long-time court reformer and TV Show moderator/producer I began investigating the Coca-Cola case. In May, 2000, in the presence of Ivy and Kolody, I interview Hanley

Skolnick "Does Coca-Cola and their attorneys know the legal strategy of Robert Kolody and his attorney Dan Ivy here?"

Daniel V. Hanley "Yes".

Skolnick "Really? How could they know?"

Hanley "My sister is MEDIA BUYER FOR COCA-COLA." (Emphasis added.) From the signed court Declaration by Skolnick and Skolnick's court testimony made in the presence of Daniel V. Hanley, 8/22/00.

After the May interview, I find out that his sister, Mary Hanley, is Associate Media Director at the advertising agency DDB, with offices worldwide. And get this DDB represents both Coca-Cola and their alleged "competitor" Pepsi-Cola, an apparent Anti-Trust violation situation.

TRIBUNE COMPANY- As the parent firm of the Tribune media empire, the Tribune Company owns the CHICAGO TRIBUNE, THE LOS ANGELES TIMES, and other newspapers around the country as well as numerous radio and TV stations. Tribune Company is reportedly trying to strong-arm COCA-COLA for more advertising dollars, by

reportedly leaning on DDB. But Tribune has not been interested in publicizing the Kolody suit against Coca-Cola.

U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE BLANCHE M. MANNING, Chicago- The Tribune, without publicity in their newspapers, radio, and TV, has sought to intervene in one of Judge Manning's criminal cases to accuse her of secret proceedings and other unlawful acts and doings. [See previous parts of this series.] The apparent purpose? To blackmail the Judge and put pressure on Coca-Cola and DDB for more ad bucks, to relieve the Tribune's huge debt overhang caused by merging with the Los Angeles Times.

In a series of court-filed Motions by Kolody, he documents how Judge Manning has been stuffing the Court records with "judicial perjuries", straight out lies used to justify arbitrary and corrupt rulings favoring Coca-Cola.

GEORGE LAZARUS- As the Chicago Tribune's long-time, widely-read columnist on marketing and advertising, Lazarus on 8/15/00, runs an item "Mary Hanley, a group media director of DDB Chicago, was elected a senior VP of the agency" and includes a picture of her.

MARY HANLEY- Selected to be a top official of DDB Advertising, she is reportedly unavailable to be a witness at an important hearing in Kolody's case set for 8/22/00. Her brother, DANIEL V. HANLEY tells Kolody and Skolnick, in a conference call, that Mary Hanley is leaving the country for Ireland. Later, Hanley tells them she will be back in 15 days. [Is there a link to the IRA? See previous parts of this series.]

While Kolody is listening on the phone, Skolnick interviews JILL ZEMANSKI, private secretary of Mary Hanley. Jill confirms that Mary Hanley represents COCA-COLA COMPANY.

THE HEARING ON AUGUST 22, 2000- Informed by attorney Ivy that there are in Court present two witnesses, Skolnick and Kolody, prepared to testify that Judge Manning is committing a fraud upon her own Court in the Coca-Cola case, Judge Manning brings into the courtroom SIX FEDERAL POLICE to menace and intimidate Skolnick sitting in his wheelchair.

The purpose of the hearing? For Judge Manning to hear and consider whether she herself has committed a fraud upon her own Court through the Judge falsifying the facts and filling the Court records with her "judicial perjuries". The Judge does NOT seem to understand that such a hearing with her presiding, is outlawed by Anglo-Saxon law, that is, for a person TO SIT AS A JUDGE IN THEIR OWN CASE.

Ivy tells the Judge that the remedy he and his client Kolody seek is for the Judge to expunge from the Court records all of Judge Manning's rulings favoring The Coca-Cola Company and Simon Marketing. Judge Manning said she will take the matter of her own fraud upon the Court and instances brought up of newly discovered evidence, "under advisement". [The foregoing is shown in the Report of Proceedings of her Court, of 8/22/00.]

Following the hearing, the Broadsides Cable TV Program sends an envoy to Tribune columnist GEORGE LAZARUS informing him what is happening in Court as to The Coca-Cola Company, Mary Hanley, and DDB advertising. He expresses an interest that he is going to follow up the matter for his column. [Or, are his bosses at the Tribune intending to over-rule him and pursue their reported blackmailing of DDB, Judge Manning, Coca-Cola, and others, to shake-down more ad bucks for The Tribune Company?]

In a Court order dated 8/29/00, Judge Manning issues a nine-page ruling with more of her falsified facts and "judicial perjuries", contending she finds that she has NOT committed a fraud upon her own Court. [An obvious ruling by someone sitting as a Judge in their own case.] As to some of the prior rulings, Ivy files a Notice of Appeal on August 31,2000.

Among the issues involved in the case against Coca-Cola That the Coca-Cola Company allowed their copyright to lapse and it is now owned by Kolody as shown by documents of the U.S. Copyright office. That Coca-Cola has in respect to this litigation committed a fraud by not reporting it to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission that regulates listed stock such as that of Coke.

Several weeks in the works was a story published in the much-watched Tempo Section of the Chicago Tribune, usually published on a Thursday. Published on Thursday, September 7, 2000, was a lengthy Tempo story about various other soda pop companies. In quoting a seller of a flavored foreign pop called Tarhun "People believe Tarhun is good for you and Coke is bad for you". Then further quoting the seller, "For instance, have you ever seen what happens when you put a chicken liver in a glass of Coke?" In so doing, the Tribune was taking a swipe at Coke to reportedly shake them and DDB down for more ad bucks.

While they were commenting, the Tribune could have added that Coca-Cola can be a substitute for DRANO to clear out your home plumbing.

The very next day, Friday, September 8, 2000, player GEORGE LAZARUS was found dead on the commuter train he took each day to the Tribune offices. In a lengthy story Saturday, September 9, 2000, a sort of obituary, headlined "Veteran Business Columnist George Lazarus Dies", the Tribune stated "His daily column, put together through an indomitable force of will for 39 years, all but 11 of them at the Tribune, was practically Holy Writ for executives in the marketing and advertising business in Chicago".

Then the Tribune adds this strange sort of cynical humor "WE WERE SURE HE WAS HIDING IN OUR RESTROOMS", said DDB WORLDWIDE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER KEITH REINHARD. "MANY TIMES, WE COULDN'T FIGURE OUT HOW HE GOT THE STORY." (Emphasis added.) Did someone plant that item in that obituary to take the glare of possible foul play off of themselves and DDB and the Tribune and all the matters discussed in this series?

The Tribune story stated, "A Metra [commuter train] employee found him Friday morning, slumped in his seat on his way to work, his customary collection of morning

newspapers still in his lap. He had died on his morning commute from his Flossmoor home to his office downtown."

Some of his buddies, however, have confided to us that they are convinced that GEORGE LAZARUS was murdered. One media homcho, poorly informed and naive, said "Was he shot with a gun?" a foolish question in the current era of political assassination. [Evidently, they never read the reports by CIA to Congressional intelligence committees about cyanide pistols and potassium killer devices.]

Did the Tribune want their own reporter DEAD? There is the unsolved crime of about 1930, involving a Tribune reporter, Jake Lingle, who was shaking down mobsters and others to hush up stories. He was bumped off by being shot in a public place. {It may be a small item but Lazarus lived among the numerous hoodlums in the south suburb of Flossmoor.]

So you thought that mass media reporters are only knocked off in Mexico? Ha, ha. Lazarus was reportedly pursuing some of the issues raised by our series Was the highly corrupt U.S. Justice Department going to finally take an interest that Coca-Cola and Pepsi-Cola are owned and operated by substantially the same people? That they are a price-fixing monopoly designed to wreck other smaller soft drink beverage firms like R C Cola. And that DDB advertising represents both of the two major soda pop companies, Coca-Cola and Pepso-Cola.

So how many more are they going to have to assassinate to cover up the Coca-Cola espionage and corruption scandals? Stay tuned.